



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/416,210	10/08/99	LANE		W	ESPD:177/GLE
-		QM12/1011		EXAMINER	
ARNOLD WHITE	E & DURKEE	QM12/101.	•	DEXTER,C	
P 0 B0X 4433 HOUSTON TX 77210-4433				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3724	7
				DATE MAILED:	10/11/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

09/416,210

Clark F. Dexter

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Lane et al.

3724



Office Action Summary

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 28, 2000			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1939	r formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)			
Claim(s)			
☐ Claims			
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.		
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies o	f the priority documents have been		
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	mber)		
\square received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s)		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	18		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 09/416,210

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed July 28, 2000 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Merrigan.
- 4. Claims 39 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ocenasek.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Application/Control Number: 09/416,210

Art Unit: 3724

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warrick et al. in view of Merrigan.

Warrick et al. discloses a miter gauge assembly with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention including gauge extensions (e.g., 238, 239), a knob adjustment assembly (e.g., 261), at least one adjustable screw stop assembly (shown adjacent 238 but not numbered), and a pin (e.g., 265), but lacks a c-shaped opening in the gauge and the knob adjustment assembly extending through the c-shaped opening. However, c-shaped openings and knob adjustment assemblies extending therethrough are old and well known in the art as evidenced by many of the cited references including Merrigan for tightening the gauge in a desired angular position. Further, it provides a common locking configuration which facilitates manufacturing and maintenance of the gauge assembly. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a c-shaped opening with the knob adjustment assembly extending therethrough for the well known benefits including that described above.

Further, if it is argued that the combination does not teach or suggest a plurality of adjustable screw stop assemblies, the Examiner takes Official notice that such assemblies are old and well known in the art for adding a measure of precision to the assembly adjustments to

Art Unit: 3724

compensate for worn parts and/or to facilitate minor adjustments after manufacturing and thus enable manufacturing of the gauge to greater tolerances which reduces manufacturing costs.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide adjustable stop screw assemblies on some or all of the extensions for the well known benefits including those described above.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at (703)308-2187.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3724

cfd

October 10, 2000